

T. MINSTER, F. P. MIKNIS

SOLID STATE ^{13}C NMR OF ISRAELI OIL SHALES

Abstract

Representative samples from a wide range of oil shale occurrences in Israel, aging from Campanian to middle Maastrichtian, were analysed for their solid state ^{13}C NMR pattern and for some additional properties in an attempt to assess their energy potential and to examine if significant differentiations between various basins and strata can be determined.

The results demonstrate a great similarity which prevails between all subsurface samples from the different sampled basins and strata; thus, the aliphatic and aromatic carbon ratios determined by NMR does not show significant variations. The average Fischer assay to oil conversion value of all the samples is about 59 %, a value which copes well with the relatively narrow range (0.6-0.7) of the aliphatic carbon fraction. The conversion amount of organic carbon to oil found in the Israeli samples is quite below that of the Green River oil shales but is considerably higher than that of the US Eastern oil shales. Higher trends of the aromaticity in the rocks and the specific gravity of the generated oils are indicated in the Mishash (Campanian) samples when compared to those of the Ghareb (Maastrichtian).

One surface sample gave a unique NMR spectrum. It may be due to severe oxidation causing a partial decomposition of the organic material, or due to a different source material input. The NMR spectrum resemble those of oil shales from other basins around the Mediterranean, in Turkey, Jordan, Morocco and Spain.

Introduction

Solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) techniques have gained a relatively wide usage during the 1980's in evaluating source rock potential [1-6]. In particular, the solid-state ^{13}C NMR techniques of cross-polarization with magic-angle spinning, seem to be most applicable in assessing the potential of fossil fuels. Some of the advantages of this technique are that measurements can be made on whole-rock samples regardless of their non-organic fraction content including mineral carbons, and are non-destructive so that limited quantity of sample material can be preserved for further research and analyses [7].

No data have been previously published on ^{13}C NMR properties of Israeli oil shales.

The aim of this study was to apply solid-state ^{13}C NMR techniques to examine samples from various localities and section units of oil shales from Israel, in an attempt to define properties, pinpoint differences between various basins and sequence units, and to contribute a better assessment on the potential foreconomical applications.

Oil Shale Basins in Israel

The Upper Cretaceous-Tertiary anoxic event in Israel has been indicated from rocks of Turonian to Eocene age. It is widely developed in rocks of the Mount Scopus Group, a schematic geological section of which is presented in Fig. 1.

Two formations of that group have been intensively studied as having economical potential - the Campanian Mishash Formation (which in its uppermost part contains, in places, economic phosphorites) and the overlying Maastrichtian Ghareb Formation of whose organic-rich sediments have been traditionally termed "oil shales" in Israel although their inorganic fraction is dominated by carbonates [8]. The term "oil shale" will be attributed here to all domestic organic-rich sediments which have some outcrops, especially in the northern and eastern Negev. However, their significant horizontal and vertical distribution can be studied basically out of data gathered from the subsurface. General location of the main oil shale bodies in Israel is shown on Fig. 2.




Fig. 1. Schematic columnar geological section of the Mount Scopus Group in the Northern Negev. Legend: 1 - clay, 2 - marl, 3 - chalk, 4 - limestone concretions, 5 - chert, 6 - porcelanite, 7 - bituminous, 8 - phosphatic

Present estimations of oil shale reserves in Israel are in the magnitude of several tens of billion tons. More than half are buried east of the central coastal plain, on the foothills of the Judea Mountains, a region known as the Shephela. Those vast resources are very significant, especially in a country where most of the energy consumption is based upon imported oil and coal. Theoretically, these resources have the potential of solving all of Israel's energy demands for several centuries. Therefore the oil shales have attracted research and development efforts, especially in the last 20 years. Recently, a technological breakthrough towards generating electricity from Israeli oil shale was achieved and the planning of a 75MW oil shale power station has begun [9].

With remarkable reserves indicated from neighboring countries and in particular Jordan and Syria, this energy resource may be a topic for future, mutual research and utilization. The inorganic chemistry of some Israeli oil shales was summarized by Shirav and Ginzburg [10].




Fig. 2. Map of the main oil shale fields in Israel and locations of the samples (modified after Shirav and Minster [12]). Location of NMR studied samples (see Table 1) is given in italic

Samples

Most of the samples chosen for the study were from the subsurface, and were prepared by combining originally collected 1 m (from core or cuttings drillhole) samples to composite samples, representing sequences of 5-20 m thick. In one case, (Borehole Sheqef-1, sample 17), in which only scattered samples were originally collected, a sample representing a section greater than 100 m was prepared. One sample (No. 15) was taken from an outcrop (Nahal Zin locality). In all, the samples were collected from eleven different localities in the central and southern parts of Israel, particularly from the basins of the northeastern Negev. Of the eighteen studied samples, eight were from the Upper Campanian sequence (Mishash Fm.) and ten from the overlying Ghareb Fm. (Maastrichtian).

Preliminary data on the studied samples is given in Table 1 and their locations are shown also on the location map (Fig. 2).

Table 1. Data on the Studied Samples

Sample No. (see Fig. 2)	Oil shale basin	Borehole / Sample id.	Interval (m)		TOC ^{*1} (%)	Formation ^{*2}
			from	to		
1	Nabi-Musa	NM-4	43	62	14.5	G
2	Hatruv	ZRB-1	67	77	10.8	G
3	Hatrumim	H-1	80	101	10.5	G
4	Yamin	Bit-59	173	182	14.1	G
5	Rotem	Bit-89	53	66	3.2	M
6	Rotem	Bit-97	52	69	14.6	G
7	Oron, North	OS-17	52	65	15.6	G
8	Oron, North	OS-17	67	77	5.3	M
9	Oron, North	OS-17	85	91	14.3	M
10	Oron, South	OP-10	146	164	11.1	M
11	Sde-Boqer	NS-20	73	83	13.4	G
12	Sde-Boqer	NS-20	108	115	11.1	M
13	Biq'at Zin	NS-28	220	228	11.1	M
14	Nahal Zin	D-1	14	21	12.8	G
15	Nahal Zin	TZ	o.s. ^{*3}	o.s.	11.9	G
16	Nahal Zin	ZS-10	24	29	12.2	M
17	Shefara	Sheqef-1	445	568	12.7	G
18	Biq'at Arad	M-8	361	377	13.2	G

*1 Total organic carbon. *2 G - Ghareb Fm., M - Mishash Fm. *3 Outcrop sample.

Analytical Procedure

(1) ¹³C NMR procedure

¹³C NMR measurements were carried out on a JEOL FX-60Q NMR spectrometer modified for cross polarization (CP) and magic-angle spinning (MAS). CP/MAS spectra were recorded under the following conditions: 15.1 MHz ¹³C frequency, 0.5 s pulse delay, 1 msec contact time, 32 msec acquisition time, and a spinning rate of 2.5 kHz. Typically, 40,000 to 60,000 transients were recorded, depending on the organic carbon in the sample.

Table 2. Summary of Results and Calculations for the Ghareb Fm. Samples

Indices	Sample No.																		Averages
	1	2	3	4	6	7	11	14	15	17	18								
TOC (%)	14.5	10.8	10.5	14.1	14.6	15.5	13.4	12.8	11.9	12.7	13.2	13.1							
H (%)	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.5							
H/C	1.24	1.56	1.60	1.36	1.40	1.38	1.34	1.31	1.31	1.32	1.36	1.38							
N (%)	0.26	0.34	0.26	0.36	0.40	0.33	0.29	0.35	0.45	0.3	0.31	0.33							
S (%)	0.93	2.20	2.60	0.63	3.00	2.90	2.60	2.7	2.1	2.1	2.5	2.21							
Yield of the product:																			
Oil yield (wt%)	9.5	5.4	5.9	7.9	8.6	9.6	9.3	7.2	2.9	7.2	8.4	7.45							
Water (wt%)	1.4	4.7	3.4	5.6	2.2	5.0	2.4	2.3	6.9	n.a.	2.9	3.68							
Spent shale (wt%)	86.0	85.8	87.4	82.0	84.1	80.2	84.2	85.3	83.7	n.a.	84.5	84.32							
Gas + loss (wt%)	3.2	4.1	3.0	4.5	5.1	5.1	4.1	5.2	6.6	n.a.	4.2	4.5							
Oil (Gal/Ton)	23.6	13.5	14.9	19.5	21.4	23.9	23.1	17.8	7.5	n.a.	20.9	18.6							
Water (Gal/Ton)	3.4	11.3	8.6	13.5	5.3	12.0	5.8	5.5	16.6	n.a.	7.0	8.9							
Oil specific gravity	0.961	0.962	0.955	0.965	0.964	0.967	0.968	0.967	n.a. ¹	n.a.	0.961	0.963							
Aromaticity	0.395	0.364	0.353	0.313	0.345	0.293	0.318	0.306	0.392	0.361	0.346	0.379 ³							
Converted to oil (%)	65.5	50.0	56.2	56.0	58.9	61.5	69.4	56.3	24.4	56.7 ²	63.6	59.79 ³							

¹ Not analyzed. ² Calculated. ³ Excluding sample No. 15.

Carbon aromaticity integrations covered the range of 360 to -160 ppm. This large range was required to include contributions from spinning sidebands to the aromatic carbon integrals. For all the samples the region between 340 and 100 ppm was considered as the aromatic carbon region. The region between -20 and 100 ppm was considered as the aliphatic carbon region, and the integrated sideband intensity between -20 and -80 ppm was added to the aromatic carbon integral. Aromaticity results are given in Tables 2, 3.

Table 3. Summary of Results and Calculations for the Mishash Fm. Samples

Indices	Sample No.							Averages
	5	8	9	10	12	13	16	
TOC (%)	3.2	5.3	14.3	11.1	11.1	11.1	12.2	9.58
H (%)	0.4	0.6	1.6	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.09
H/C	1.50	1.36	1.34	1.19	1.41	1.30	1.38	1.35
N (%)	0.10	0.14	0.32	0.22	0.24	0.22	0.32	0.22
S (%)	0.17	0.22	2.80	2.40	2.30	2.10	2.50	1.78
Yield of the product:								
Oil yield (wt%)	4.6	2.9	7.2	6.9	6.6	7.4	7.8	6.2
Water (wt%)	1.8	2.0	3.4	2.3	2.0	1.7	2.6	2.26
Spent shale (wt%)	95.2	93.1	85.1	87.5	88.7	87.5	85.9	89.00
Gas + loss (wt%)	1.3	2.1	4.3	3.3	2.7	3.3	3.7	2.96
Oil (Gal/Ton)	4.3	7.1	17.6	17.0	16.4	18.3	19.1	14.26
Water (Gal/Ton)	4.3	4.8	8.1	5.5	4.8	4.1	6.2	5.4
Oil specific gravity	0.952	0.972	0.983	0.977	0.972	0.974	0.975	0.972
Aromaticity	n.a. ^{*1}	0.366	0.398	0.380	0.356	0.360	0.322	0.364
Converted to oil (%)	53.1 ^{**2}	54.7	50.3	62.2	59.5	66.7	63.6	58.59

*1 Not analyzed. **2 Calculated.

(2) Additional Analyses

The traditional method for evaluating the potential oil yield of an oil shale is the Fischer assay (ASTM method D-3904-80). The Fischer assay (FA) consists of heating a 100 gram samples of -8 mesh (2.38 mm) particle size oil shale to 500 °C at a rate of 12 °C/min and maintaining this temperature for 40 min. During this heating cycle hydrocarbon vapors are distilled from the rock and are condensed at 0 °C to form a shale oil. The material is collected, its volume and weight are recorded and the specific gravity is measured. From these measurements, the potential is calculated as gallons of oil per ton of shale. Fischer assay results are given in Tables 2 and 3.

Elemental analyses (CHNS) and mineral carbon were obtained using standard instrumental methods. Carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen were determined on a Perkin Elmer Model 240C CHN Analyzer; sulfur was determined using a Fischer Model 472 analyzer, and mineral carbon was determined using a Coulometrics CO₂ analyzer. Results of the elemental analyses are given in Tables 2 and 3.

Results

Examples of CP/MAS ¹³C NMR spectra of Israeli oil shales are presented on Fig. 3. The results are summarized also in Tables 2 (data on the Ghareb Fm. samples) and 3 (data on




Fig. 3. Examples of ^{13}C NMR spectra of the oil shale samples: *a* - sample No. 3, Hatrumim, Ghareb Fm.; *b* - sample No. 6, Mishor Rotem, Ghareb Fm.; *c* - sample No. 9, Oron, Mishash Fm.; *d* - sample No. 15, Nahal Zin, Ghareb Fm. (outcrop)

the Mishash Fm. samples), including the organic carbon, elemental organic results and Fisher assay data. The oil yield values (in Gal/Ton) for those samples are also presented in relation to their organic carbon content (Fig. 4).

Discussion

The samples from the Ghareb Fm. are richer in their organic matter content than those of the Mishash Fm. (averages of 13.1 % and 9.76 % TOC, respectively). This is a known observation from prospection on numerous samples from both formations by one of the authors (T. M.).

The similarity between the NMR spectra of most of the samples, regardless of their location or age, is obvious. The calculated carbon aromaticity values are between 0.3-0.4, with a higher average characterizing the Mishash Fm. samples (0.364) than those of the Ghareb Fm. (0.344). The fraction of the aliphatic carbons for all the samples has a range




Fig. 4. Oil yield vs. organic carbon values of the examined samples

of 0.6 to 0.7 and this may serve as a better conversion indicator as the aromatic carbon values may also contain contributions from carbonyl and carboxyl carbons, if present. The aliphatic carbon values explain why the per cent conversion of organic carbon to wt% of generated oil ranges from about 50 to 65 % [4]. The per cent conversion values have almost identical averages for both studied rock formations - around 59 %.

There also appears to be a trend of higher values of the specific gravity of the generated oils found for the Mishash samples compared to the Ghareb ones (0.972 and 0.963, respectively, average values). This difference may need explanation probably not given by the present NMR spectra.

The number of samples from each basin was too small to follow tiny differences between them in the NMR response; the small number of samples also does not provide any hints on possible variations within the Mishash (the lower unit and the phosphorite member) or the Ghareb (lower and upper part) formations.

The only sample which was collected from an outcrop (in Nahal Zin, No. 15) has a different NMR spectrum and behaves in a very anomalous way. The sample was taken from an exposure in an area in which extremely high day-temperatures prevail in summer and has a unique field appearance, i.e. brown colors (which differ from all domestic exposures) and contains oil-stained chips in significant amounts. The NMR spectrum of this sample possesses much more resolution than seen in most oil shales samples, particularly in the aromatic carbon region. Significantly more oxygenated carbons (160-210 ppm) in that sample were observed than in the others. The oxygenated carbons are mostly distinguished in two ranges - ~210 ppm carbonyl carbons as in ketones and aldehydes and 180 ppm carboxyl carbons as in carboxyl acids; also, a 70 ppm peak as in




Fig. 5. Comparison of ^{13}C NMR spectra encountered herein and data from various oil shale basins around the Mediterranean: I - Israeli oil shale: Ia - Mishor Rotem deposit, Ib - Nahal Zin deposit (outcrop); II - Moroccan oil shale, Timahdit deposit; III - Turkish oil shale, Goynuk deposit; IV - Jordanian oil shale, El-Lajjun deposit; V - Spanish oil shale, Puertollano deposit

aliphatic ethers and alcohols was recorded. The higher oxygen content may explain why the sample has a low conversion to oil (~25%), given its 11.9% TOC, and reasonable aliphatic carbon value (.608). This sample also produces the highest gas amount during Fischer assay, which is probably CO_2 from the carboxylate carbons, and the most water. A sample from same location was found to be rich in fatty acids [11], which is compatible with the presented NMR spectra. It is not known whether this sample reflects a partial degradation of the organic matter due to oxidation or represents a significantly different source material which contains fatty acids.

It should be also mentioned that a sample (No. 3) collected from the south Hatrurim ("Mottled Zone") basin, where most of the rocks underwent *in-situ* combustion and were thus metamorphosed, has the same pattern as the other subsurface samples and no changes due to substantial heating history could be detected.

NMR spectrum from various oil shale basins around the Mediterranean are shown on Fig. 5. and compared to the Israeli ones. The similarity between the analysed samples from Israel (fresh material), Jordan, Morocco and to some extent Spain and Turkey, is evident.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Prof. G. E. Maciel, Colorado State University, for conducting the NMR analyses and to Dr. A. Raveh (formerly of PAMA, Energy Resources Development, Ltd.) for sample preparation and providing results of preliminary analyses.

REFERENCES

1. Miknis, F. P., Bartuska, V. J., Maciel, G. E. Cross polarization magic-angle spinning ^{13}C NMR spectra of oil shale // *Org. Geochim.* 1979. 1. P. 169.
2. Miknis, F. P., Netzel, D. A., Smith, J. W., Mast, M. A., Maciel, G. E. ^{13}C NMR measurements of the genetic potentials of oil shale // *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.* 1982. 46. P. 977-984.
3. Miknis, F. P. NMR studies of solid fossil fuels // *Magnetic Reson. Reviews.* 1982. 7. P. 87-122.
4. Miknis, F. P. Combined NMR and Fischer assay study of oil shale conversion // *Fuel.* 1992. 71. P. 731-738.
5. Axelson, D. E. Solid State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance of Fossil Fuels: An Experimental Approach. - Multiscience Publications Ltd. (Canada), 1985.
6. Wilson, M. A. NMR Techniques and Applications in Geochemistry and Soil Chemistry // Pergamon Press, New York, 1987.
7. Miknis, F. P., Smith, W. J., Maughan, E. K., Maciel, G. E. Nuclear magnetic resonance: a technique for direct nondestructive evaluation of source-rock potential // *AAPG Bull.* 1982. V. 66, No. 9. P. 1396-1401.
8. Minster, T., Nathan, Y., Raveh, A. Carbon and sulfur relationships in marine Senonian organic-rich, iron-poor sediments from Israel. - A case study // *Chem. Geol.* 1992. 97. P. 145-161.
9. Kaiser, A. Oil Shale Utilization in Israel: The first Year of the Combustion Demonstration Plant Operation // 1st Energy Conf. Israel - former USSR. Ben-Gurion Univ., Beer Sheva, May 1991. *Isr. Minist. Energy & Infrastructure.* 1993. P. 16-21.
10. Shirav, M., Ginzburg, D. Geochemistry of Israeli oil shales // *F. Geochemistry and Chemistry of Oil Shales* / Miknis, J. McKay Eds. ACS Symp. Series 230. Chapter 5. Washington, DC, 1983.
11. Shiloni, Y. The phosphates of the Mishash Fm. and the marls of the Ghareb Fm. - products of late epigenetic oxidation of rocks being bituminous in diagenetic stages // 9th Conf. on Mineral Engineering, En Boqeq, 1988. P. 101-104.
12. Shirav, M., Minster, T. Oil Shales in Israel - Resources as of April, 1984 // *Isr. Geol. Surv. Rep. GSI/24/84.* 1984.

Presented by C. F. Knutson

Geological Survey of Israel
Jerusalem, Israel

Received July 7, 1994

Western Research Institute
Laramie, WY, USA